

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Lisa Dhar 495812001900 01/11/2002 10/043,939 EXAMINER 20872 7590 03/24/2005 DICUS, TAMRA **MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 MARKET STREET** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482 1774

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>W</i>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summary		10/043,939	DHAR ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tamra L. Dicus	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	lanuary 2005		
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me				erits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attooless see	*/~}			
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152))

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The cancellation of claims 1-10, 20-39 and 49 are acknowledged. The RCE is acknowledged. The prior rejection is withdrawn. A new ground of rejection is presented below.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 11-19, 40-48, and 50-53 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 70-78, 81, and 108 of copending Application No. 09/935,462. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Application '462 claims "an article", however, "an article" is construed as the instant application's "a third substrate".

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,939

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1-19, 40-48, and 50-53 are directed to an invention not patentably distinct from claims 70-78 and 108 of commonly assigned Application No. 09/935,462. Specifically, Application '462 claims "an article", while the instant application claims "a third substrate".

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 11-19, 40-48, and 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2003/0044576 A1 to Dhar et al.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Dhar teaches a system comprising a first and second substrate and an article located between the first and second substrate having the same adherent between the substrates. See patented claims 71 and 81 teaching all the limitations to the flatness and waviness requirements and Strehl value. While Application '462 claims "an article", the article is a polarizer located

Art Unit: 1774

between first and second substrate and functions as the instant Application's "a third substrate". Application '462 teaches a diffraction or relief pattern on the first substrate, it functions as having an "optically reflective" first surface (instant claim 40). See patented claims 70-81 to teaching the materials and structure of claims 11-19, 40-48, and 50-53. See [0079] to teaching the adherent capable of holographic data storage when the adherent used is a photopolymer material. While the preamble of claim 40 is to a multilayer reflective holographic storage system, the same layers, materials, and values are taught and thus a different naming of the essential elements do not make the product different.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

References of Interest

The remaining references listed on form(s) 892 and/or 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Art Unit 1774

3/17/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 3/18/05